Superfluid gas of dipolar chains in multilayer systems in crossed electric and magnetic fields

D. V. Fil[1] and S. I. Shevchenko[2]
[1] *Institute for Single Crystals National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Lenin av. 60 Kharkov 61001, Ukraine*
[2] *B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Lenin av. 47 Kharkov 61103, Ukraine*

arXiv:1401.7548v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 29 Jan 2014

Crossed electric and magnetic fields influence dipolar neutral particles in the same way as the magnetic field influences charged particles. The effect of crossed fields is proportional to the dipole moment of particles (inherent or induced). We show that the effect of crossed fields is quite spectacular in a multilayer system of polar molecules with dipole moments perpendicular to the layers. In this system the dipoles are coupled into chains, with a very large dipole moment of a given chain. The crossed fields may then induce a large number of vortices in the superfluid gas of chains. This effect can be used for monitoring the formation and dissociation of chains in multilayer dipolar structures.

PACS numbers: 67.85.-d; 03.75.Lm;

I. INTRODUCTION

Neutral particles in crossed electric and magnetic fields behave as if they are charged and subjected to an effective magnetic field. The latter is determined by the effective vector potential which is proportional to the vector product of the real magnetic field and the dipole moment of a neutral particle. The phenomenon was described in Ref. [1, 2], where it was established that the crossed fields induce the superfluid current with the velocity proportional to the dipole moment. In [3, 4] the effect of crossed fields was described in terms of the effective Aharonov-Bohm phase acquired by a dipole particle. For neutral atoms the dipole moment induced by an electric field is very small and, hence, the superfluid current caused by the crossed fields as well as the Aharonov-Bohm phase is small.

For polar molecules [5, 6], where the dipole moment ranges up to 5 Debyes, the effect of crossed fields can be visible. The crossed fields may generate quantum vortices in a superfluid gas of polar molecules. However, it looks unrealistic to get large vortex density, and hence crossed fields can not compete with optically generated artificial magnetic fields [7] already realized experimentally [8].

The crossed fields would induce the superfluid current and generate quantum vortices in a gas of electron-hole pairs in bilayer systems [9–11]. The dipole moment of the pairs is rather large, and the effect of the crossed fields would be larger then for the polar molecules. But since at present there is no clear experimental confirmation of the superfluid transition in the gas of electron-hole pairs, the possibility of the observation of the effect of the crossed fields in these systems is also under the question.

In this paper we show that the effect of crossed fields can be spectacular in a multilayer system of polar molecules with dipole moments perpendicular to the layers. In this case the interlayer interaction binds polar molecules into long chains [12, 13]. The effect of crossed fields is proportional to the dipole moment of a compound particle and is independent of its mass. The dipole moment of the chain is proportional to the number of layers. This opens a possibility to get a large density of vortices in the superfluid gas of chains. At the same time, the dissociation of chains into (individual) molecules should result in a strong decrease of the vortex density or even in a complete disappearance of vortices. This phenomenon can be used for monitoring the formation and dissociation of chains in multilayer dipolar systems.

II. EFFECTIVE VECTOR POTENTIAL AND EFFECTIVE MAGNETIC FIELD FOR DIPOLAR PARTICLES

A dipolar particle feels the effective vector potential if it is subjected to the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ not collinear with its dipole moment. The appearance of the effective vector potential can be understood in terms of the Aharonov-Bohm phase. Let us model a dipolar particle as a classical dipole formed by $+e$ and $-e$ charges with the dipole moment $\mathbf{d} = e\mathbf{r}_d$. The direction of the dipole moment in each point is determined by the electric field (we imply that the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ is also applied to the system).

The motion of the dipole results in the appearance of two Aharonov-Bohm phases, one is for the positive charge and the other is for the negative one. The sum of two phases is the overall Aharonov-Bohm phase for the dipolar particle. It is proportional to the flux of the magnetic field through the area ΔS covered by the vector $\mathbf{r}_d$ at the dipole

motion

$$\Phi_{A-B,d} = \frac{e}{\hbar c}\left(\oint_{C_+} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{r} - \oint_{C_-} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{r}\right) = \frac{e}{\hbar c}\int_{\Delta S} \mathbf{B}d\mathbf{S}. \quad (1)$$

We would like to describe the dipole motion as the motion of the point particle which position is given by the vector $\mathbf{R}$. In the general case the direction and the absolute value of the dipole moment depends on $\mathbf{R}$. We imply that variations of the magnetic field and the dipole moment $\mathbf{d}$ are small on the scale of r_d. Then, the phase (1) can be approximated as

$$\Phi_{A-B,d} = \frac{e}{\hbar c}\oint_C \mathbf{A}_{eff} \cdot d\mathbf{R}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{eff} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{d}}{e}. \quad (3)$$

Since $\mathbf{d} \parallel \mathbf{E}$, the effective vector potential is nonzero only in the crossed electric and magnetic fields. In difference to the Aharonov-Bohm phase for the charged particle, the phase (2) for the dipolar particle is nonzero only for a particle that moves in a region, where the real magnetic field is nonzero.

The effective magnetic field is defined as

$$\mathbf{B}_{eff} = \nabla\times\mathbf{A}_{eff} = \frac{1}{e}\left(\mathbf{B}(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{d}) + (\mathbf{d}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{d}\right). \quad (4)$$

To distinct between the effective magnetic field and the usual magnetic field we will call the latter the real one.

We note that the effective magnetic field (4) is uncertain in the regions, where $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R})$ or its derivative is not defined (the regions forbidden for visiting). For a two-dimensional (2D) gas of dipoles the component of the effective magnetic field parallel to the basal plane is uncertain, as well. But the uncertainness in the definition (4) does not result in any physical effects. In particular, in case of a 2D system the uncertain component of the effective magnetic fields does not contribute to the effective flux that determines the Aharonov-Bohm phase and does not influence the dynamics of the dipolar particle.

Let us consider the effect of crossed fields with reference to a gas of dipolar particles confined to a two-dimensional trap. We choose the reference frame with the z axis normal to the trap. We imply that a uniform electric field is directed along the z-axis. Then, the dipole moment of the particles $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, d)$ is space independent. Using eq. (4) we obtain the following expression for the z-projection of the effective magnetic field

$$B_{z,eff} = \frac{d}{e}\partial_z B_z = -\frac{d}{e}\left(\partial_x B_x + \partial_y B_y\right). \quad (5)$$

One can see that in the case of uniform $\mathbf{E}$ a nonuniform real magnetic field is required to generate the effective magnetic field. To create such a field one can explore the flaring magnetic field at the end of the solenoid [10]. The projection of the real magnetic field to the plane parallel to the solenoid axis is axially symmetric and has only the radial component: $\mathbf{B}_{pl} = B_0\mathbf{r}/4R_0$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the 2D radius-vector in the (x, y) plane counted from the solenoid axis, B_0 is the real magnetic field deep inside the solenoid, R_0 is the solenoid radius, and the inequality $r \ll R_0$ is implied. The real magnetic field has also nonzero z-component that is quite large in the trap plane. The suppress this component one can use two solenoids with oppositely directed current and put the trap between their ends. The solenoid creates the uniform effective magnetic field for the dipoles

$$B_{z,eff} = -k\frac{d}{e}\frac{B_0}{R_0}, \quad (6)$$

where k is the numerical factor of order of unity.

A radial in-plane real magnetic field can also be created by a flat coil (in the plane parallel to the coil) [9]. If the distance a between the coil and the 2D dipolar gas is small comparing to the coil radius R_c, the radial field B_{pl} is almost constant in modulus in the diapason $a < \rho < R_c$. The effective magnetic field is nonuniform in this case:

$$B_{z,eff} = -\frac{d}{e}\frac{B_{pl}}{r}. \quad (7)$$

If the effective magnetic field is larger than some critical one it excites vortices in the superfluid dipolar gas. The condition for the appearance of vortices can be given in terms of the flux of the effective magnetic field. To be more

concrete we consider a 2D cloud of dipolar particles confined in a harmonic trap centered at $r = 0$. Then, the effective flux should be larger than

$$\Phi_{eff,c} = f\Phi_0 \left(\ln \frac{R_{TF}}{\xi_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \tag{8}$$

where $\Phi_0 = hc/e$ is the flux quantum, R_{TF} is the Tomas-Fermi radius of the cloud, ξ_0 is the vortex core radius, and f is the numerical factor of order of unity ($f = 2$ for the case of the uniform effective field, and $f = 1.5$ for the field (7)). The effective flux is determined by the real magnetic field B_{edge} at the edge of the cloud

$$\frac{\Phi_{eff}}{\Phi_0} = \frac{dR_{TF}}{e\ell^2}, \tag{9}$$

where $\ell = \sqrt{\hbar c/eB_{edge}}$ is the magnetic length (for the real magnetic field) at the edge.

Taking $B_{edge} = 0.2$ T, $R_{TF} = 500$ μm and $d = 3.5$ Debye we obtain $\Phi_{eff} \approx 10\Phi_0$. For such parameter one can expect that one or few vortices emerge in the trap.

III. MULTIVORTEX STATE IN A STACK OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL TRAPS

Let us now consider a gas of polar molecules in a stack of two-dimensional traps subjected to the uniform electric field directed perpendicular to the trap. We imply the same densities of particles in each trap and the same distances between the traps.

The electric field aligns the dipole moments normally to the layers. In that case the dipole-dipole interaction is attractive for the molecules located in different layers not far from each other. It results in binding of the molecules of different layers in chains. The formation of the chains is controlled by the dipole strength parameter

$$U_0 = \frac{d^2 m}{\hbar^2 b}, \tag{10}$$

where d is the dipole moment of the molecule, m is its mass, and b is the distance between the nearest neighbor layers.

The properties of the dipolar Bose gas in the multilayer trap was analyzed numerically in [12, 13]. It was shown that the chains emerge at $U_0 \gtrsim 1$. To illustrate this property we consider the case of large U_0 that corresponds to molecules with a large dipole moment. For the nearest neighbor layers the interaction potential is equal to $V(r) = d^2(r^2 - 2b^2)/(r^2 + b^2)^{5/2}$, where r is the projection of the relative radius vector to the plane parallel to the layers. The potential has a deep minimum at $r = 0$, and at small r it can be approximated as the harmonic one

$$V(r) \approx -\frac{2d^2}{b^3} + \frac{6d^2 r^2}{b^5}. \tag{11}$$

Let us compare the energy of the bound state of two molecules and of N molecules (the chain). The energy of the bound state of two particles of masses m in the potential (11) is the sum of the classical binding energy and the zero-point energy. The energy per particle is

$$E_{b,2} = -\frac{d^2}{b^3} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{6}{U_0}} \right). \tag{12}$$

To evaluate the energy of the bound state of N particles we take into account only the interaction between the particles in the nearest neighbor layers and imply $N \gg 1$. The zero-point energy is equal to the energy of the transverse zero-point vibrations of a one-dimensional atomic lattice. The binding energy per particle is

$$E_{b,N} = -\frac{2d^2}{b^3} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{12}{U_0}} \right). \tag{13}$$

For the exact potential (not approximated by the harmonic one) the binding energy should be negative. It indicates that the harmonic approximation is valid only for large U_0. It is the just the case for the molecules with the large dipole moment. For instance, for $d = 3.5$ Debye, $b = 250$ nm, and $m = 7.6 \cdot 10^{-23}$ g (LiK molecule) the interaction strength parameter is $U_0 \approx 30$.

One can see from (12) and (13) that for large U_0 the binding energy for the chain is lower than the energy of the unbound particles and of the molecular dimers. Therefore, we conclude that at large U_0 the polar molecules in the N-layer system are coupled in chains with the dipole moment Nd.

For the Bose molecules the 2D gas of the chains goes into the superfluid state at the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature T_c. The latter is determined by the equation

$$T_c = \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\hbar^2}{Nm} n_s(T_c), \tag{14}$$

where $n_s(T)$ is the superfluid density at finite temperature. In the case of strong interaction the superfluid density in (14) can be replaced with the total density.

The critical temperature increases under increase in density, but at large density the chains overlap due to transverse zero-point vibrations. One can expect that the overlapping results in the dissociation of the chains.

The square of the transverse size of the chain ζ can be evaluated using the known result for the square of the transverse displacement of a one-dimensional lattice of atoms

$$\zeta^2 = b^2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{12U_0}} \ln N + \tilde{\zeta}_T \right). \tag{15}$$

Here $\tilde{\zeta}_T$ is temperature correction. This correction is small at $T < T_c$ and can be neglected.

To avoid the overlapping the density should satisfy the inequality $n\zeta^2 \ll 1$. One can see from (15) that for reasonable N ($N \lesssim 100$) and $U_0 \gg 1$ the transverse size of the chain is $\zeta \ll b$.

Taking $n \approx b^{-2}$, $b = 250$ nm and $N = 100$, we obtain the critical temperature $T_c \approx 3$ nK for LiK molecules. For $B_{edge} = 0.2$ T and $R_{TF} = 500$ μm we get $\Phi_{eff} \approx 10^3\Phi_0$ that corresponds to a multivortex state with the density of vortices $n_v \approx 10^5$ cm^{-2}. For smaller N the critical temperature is larger, but the vortex density is smaller. For instance, for $N = 10$ we obtain $T_c \approx 30$ nK and $\Phi_{eff} \approx 10^2\Phi_0$.

The effect of the crossed fields is proportional to the total dipole moment of the compound particle. Therefore, the transition from the chain superfluid state to the state of N uncoupled superfluid 2D gases should be accompanied with a strong decrease in the vortex density.

Let us now discuss the case of Fermi polar molecules. We will not consider here the problem of even and odd N (see [14]) and imply, for simplicity, that N is even. At low density the statistics of the particles is not important and the Fermi molecules will also bind into the Bose chains.

One might think that the last statement contradicts the result of [15]. It was shown in [15] that the ground state of the Fermi dipolar gas in the multilayer system is a dimerized superfluid, with Cooper pairing only between every other layer. The result [15] was obtained in the framework of the Bardin-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory. The BCS theory was originally developed for the weak coupling limit. Many times it was successfully applied for a description of the strong coupling limit, as well (see, for instance, [16]). In particular, the BCS-BEC crossover in the bilayer gas of polar molecules [17] was described in the framework of the BCS theory. At first glance it looks natural using the BCS approach for the study of the crossover from the high density to the low density limit in the multilayer dipolar system. But, the theory should be capable of describing the possible transition from the dimerized state to the chain state. Our analysis (see Appendix) shows that the BCS wave function cannot be reduced to the wave function of chain state, in principle. The reason is that the BCS state is the state with only the two-body coupling [18]. The 4-body, 6-body etc. coupling is out of the BCS approximation. Thus, the approach [15] cannot be used in the low density limit and the result [15] does not exclude the formation of the dipolar chains at low densities.

To describe the transition from the Cooper pair state to the chain state one can use the approach developed for the barionic systems [19]. It was shown in [19] that the transition from the two-body to the four-body pairing in barionic systems is a real phase transition (not a crossover). In case of multilayer dipolar Fermi gases the similar transition can be registered with the use of the crossed fields (it should be accompanied with a change in the vortex density).

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have shown that crossed electric and magnetic fields can be considered as a powerful tool for the study of the superfluid behavior of multilayer dipolar gases. In such systems at low densities and sufficiently low temperatures the interlayer interaction may bind dipolar particles (polar molecules with dipole moments perpendicular to the layers) into long chains. The crossed fields applied to a superfluid gas of chains may nucleate many quantum vortices. The disappearance of the vortex pattern under an increase in the density or interlayer spacing can be a clear signature of the initial presence of chains which then undergo dissociation.

This work was supported by the scientific exchange project of NAS of Ukraine and CNRS France. We acknowledge helpful interactions and numerous discussions with G. V. Shlyapnikov. We acknowledge Laboratoire de Physique

Thèorique et Modèles Statistique Universitè Paris Sud, where this work was done, for the hospitality.

[1] S. I. Shevchenko, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **28**, 112 (1978); JETP Lett. **28**, 103 (1978).
[2] S. I. Shevchenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3312 (1995).
[3] M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 5 (1994).
[4] H. Wei, R. Han, X. Wei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2071 (1995).
[5] T. Lahaye, C. Menotti, L. Santos, M. Lewenstein, T. Pfau, Rep. Prog. Phys. **72**, 12401 (2009).
[6] M. A. Baranov, Phys. Rep. **464**, 71 (2008).
[7] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliunas, P. Ohberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1523 (2011).
[8] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jimenez-Garcia, J. V. Porto and I. B. Spielman, Nature **462** 628 (2009).
[9] S. I. Shevchenko, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 10355 (1997).
[10] E. B. Sonin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 106407 (2009).
[11] S. I. Shevchenko, Low. Temp. Phys. **39** (2013) 319; Fiz. Nizk. Temp. **39**, 411 (2013).
[12] D. W. Wang, M. D. Lukin, E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 180413 (2006).
[13] B. Capogrosso-Sansone, A. B. Kuklov, J. Low Temp. Phys. **165**, 213 (2011).
[14] M. Klawunn, J. Duhme, L. Santos, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 013604 (2010).
[15] A. C. Potter, E. Berg, D. W. Wang, B. I. Halperin, E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 220406 (2010).
[16] P. Nozieres, S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low Temp. Phys. **59**, 195 (1985).
[17] A. Pikovski, M. Klawunn, G. V. Shlyapnikov, L. Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 215302 (2010).
[18] J. R. Schrieffer. Theory of Superconductivity. Benjamin, New York, 1964.
[19] G. Ropke, A. Schnell, P. Schuck, P. Nozieres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3177 (1998).

Appendix A: The BCS wave function for the multilayer dipolar gases in the low density limit

In this appendix we will show that in the low density limit the BCS wave function in the coordinate representation is not reduced to the wave function of the chain, in difference to the case of the bilayer system where it is reduced to the wave function of the pair. To show this it is enough to consider the state with one particle per layer (that would correspond to one chain).

Let us first analyze the dimerized state [15]. For this state the absence of chains is obvious, and we consider this case just for the illustration. The BCS wave function for the dimerized state is the product of the BCS waves functions for the bilayer complexes

$$|\Phi_d\rangle = \prod_n \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} c^+_{\mathbf{k},2n} c^+_{-\mathbf{k},2n+1} \right) |0\rangle. \tag{A1}$$

Here $c^+_{\mathbf{k}n}$ is the creation operator for the particle in the layer n with the in-plane momentum $\mathbf{k}$, and $|u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1$. For each bilayer complex we repeat the procedure [16]. We introduce the quantity $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}/2E_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the order parameter for the BCS pairing, $E_{\mathbf{k}} = (\xi_k^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2)^{1/2}$ is the excitation spectrum, $\xi_k = \hbar^2 k^2/2m - \mu$, and μ is the chemical potential. At $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}} \ll 1$ the self-consistence equation for the order parameter $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = -(1/S)\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'}/2E_{\mathbf{k}'}$ is reduced to the linear equation for $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{m} - 2\mu \right) \phi^d_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{S} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \phi^d_{\mathbf{k}'}. \tag{A2}$$

Here $V(\mathbf{k})$ is the Fourier-component of the interaction potential $V(r) = d^2(r^2 - 2b^2)/(r^2 + b^2)^{5/2}$, and S is the area of the system. This equation is the Fourier-transformed form of the Schrodinger equation for two particles. The function $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fourier-component of the wave function of the pair $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = (1/S)\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{kr}} \phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$. At the same level of approximation $v_{\mathbf{k}} = \phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$. It yields the normalization condition for $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$n = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}})^2, \tag{A3}$$

One can see from (A3) that the condition $\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}} \ll 1$ corresponds to the low density limit.

The many-body wave function in the coordinate representation can be obtained as a scalar product of the BCS wave function (A1) and the basis many-body wave function (see, for instance [18]). For the N-layer system with one particle per layer the wave function is

$$\Phi_d(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)=\langle 0|\hat{\Psi}_1(\mathbf{r}_1)\hat{\Psi}_2(\mathbf{r}_2)\ldots\hat{\Psi}_N(\mathbf{r}_n)|\Phi_d\rangle, \tag{A4}$$

where $\hat{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{r})=(1/\sqrt{S})\sum_{\mathbf{k}}e^{i\mathbf{kr}}c_{\mathbf{k},n}$ Computing (A4) we obtain the following wave function

$$\Phi_d(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)=\phi(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2)\phi(\mathbf{r}_3-\mathbf{r}_4)\phi(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_6)\ldots. \tag{A5}$$

As was expected it is not the wave function of the chain. Each particle is coupled to only one particle (the function (A5) does not contain the factors $\phi(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_3)$, $\phi(\mathbf{r}_4-\mathbf{r}_5)$ etc). The function (A5) does not satisfy the N-particle Schrodinger equation.

One might think that the chains emerge in the uniform BCS state, where the components of the Cooper pair can be from arbitrary pair of layers. The uniform BCS state is described by the wave function

$$|\Phi_u\rangle=\prod_q\prod_{\mathbf{k}}\left(u_{\mathbf{k},q}+v_{\mathbf{k},q}c^+_{\mathbf{k},q}c^+_{-\mathbf{k},-q}\right)|0\rangle, \tag{A6}$$

where $c^+_{\mathbf{k},q}=(1/\sqrt{N})\sum_n e^{-iqn}c^+_{\mathbf{k},n}$. In this case the order parameter is antisymmetric with respect to q: $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},q}=-\Delta_{\mathbf{k},-q}$. To get this symmetry we choose the $u-v$ coefficients satisfying the conditions $u_{\mathbf{k},q}=u_{\mathbf{k},-q}$ and $v_{\mathbf{k},q}=-v_{\mathbf{k},-q}$.

For simplicity, we will neglect the interaction between the particles that do not belong to the nearest neighbor layers. Then, the self-consistance equation for the order parameter is reduced to

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k},q}=-\frac{1}{NS}\sum_{\mathbf{k}',q'}V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\sin(q)\sin(q')\frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}',q'}}{E_{\mathbf{k}',q'}}, \tag{A7}$$

where $E_{\mathbf{k},q}=(\xi_k^2+\Delta^2_{\mathbf{k},q})^{1/2}$. Introducing the function $\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}=\Delta_{\mathbf{k},q}/2E_{\mathbf{k},q}$ and implying $\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}\ll 1$ we obtain the following equation for $\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}$

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{m}-2\mu\right)\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}=-\frac{2}{NS}\sum_{\mathbf{k}',q'}V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\sin(q)\sin(q')\phi_{\mathbf{k}',q'}. \tag{A8}$$

The solution of Eq. (A8) is $\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}=\sin(q)\phi^u_{\mathbf{k}}$, where the function $\phi^u_{\mathbf{k}}$ satisfies Eq. (A2). The normalization condition is

$$n=\frac{1}{NS}\sum_{\mathbf{k},q}\phi^2_{\mathbf{k},q} \tag{A9}$$

Comparing (A9) and (A3) we obtain the relation $\phi^u_{\mathbf{k}}=\sqrt{2}\phi^d_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Substituting $|\Phi_u\rangle$ instead $|\Phi_d\rangle$ into Eq. (A4), taking into account the approximate equation $v_{\mathbf{k},q}=\phi_{\mathbf{k},q}$, and using the relation $\hat{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{r}_i)=(1/\sqrt{NS})\sum_{\mathbf{k},q}e^{i\mathbf{kr}+iqn}c_{\mathbf{k},q}$, we obtain the many-body wave function for the state (A6) with one particle per layer. It is equal to

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\phi(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2)\phi(\mathbf{r}_3-\mathbf{r}_4)\phi(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_6)\ldots+\phi(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_3)\phi(\mathbf{r}_4-\mathbf{r}_5)\phi(\mathbf{r}_6-\mathbf{r}_7)\ldots\right). \tag{A10}$$

The wave function (A10) corresponds to the superposition of two dimerized states. One can see that again we obtain the wave function for the state, where each particle is coupled to only one particle. As in the previous case, the function (A10) is not the wave function of the chain and it does not satisfy the N-particle Schrodinger equation. The result obtained can be generalized to the case of many particles per layer. In that case we also arrived at the superposition of states, where each particle is coupled to only one particle.

Thus, we have shown that in the low density limit the BCS wave function is not reduced to the wave function of the chain.